



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,030	01/15/2002	Cezary Marcjan	1026-051/MMM	1245
27195	7590 01/18/2006		EXAM	INER
	UROCY, LLP R, NATIONAL CITY CI	ENTER		
	NINTH STREET	ENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAN	D, OH 44114			
			DATE MAILED: 01/18/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No. MARCJAN ET AL. Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief 10/052,030 (37 CFR 41.37) Examiner Art Unit

2686 Willie J. Daniel, Jr.

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 11 November 2005 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer.

EXTEN	ISIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.
1. 🛛	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. 🛛	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3.	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4.	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. 🛚	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))
6.	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10.🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):
	See Continuation Sheet.
	Chapel

CHARLES APPIAH PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-462)

Application No. 10/052,030

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

Applicant failed to follow the proper content format. See MPEP § 1205.02 and 37 CFR 41.37(c). For example, the appeal brief lacks a "Table of Contents" page.

Applicant failed to provide a proper statement indicating the status of all claims AND a statement identifying claims that are being appealed as required by 37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii). For example, claim 2 has been cancelled.

Applicant failed to provide a proper statement as required by 37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi). Applicant includes cancelled claim 2 in item A.

This list of examples is not intended to be exhaustive. Essentially, the appeal brief filed 11 November 2005 does not comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 41.37 which were effective 13 September 2004. The applicant is advised to check the filed appeal brief for any errors that do not comply with 37 CFR 41.37. An appeal brief which complies with 37 CFR 41.37 is required.